

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the matter of)	
)	
AT& T Corp.)	
)	WC Docket No. 03-133
Petition for Declaratory Ruling Regarding)	
Enhanced Prepaid Calling Card Services)	
)	
)	
)	

ORDER

Adopted: July 2, 2003

Released: July 2, 2003

By the Chief, Pricing Policy Division:

Revised Filing Date:

Reply Comments: July 24, 2003

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On June 5, 2003, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) released a *Public Notice* in this matter.¹ The *Public Notice* seeks comments on AT&T's Petition for a Declaratory Ruling that "enhanced prepaid calling card services are . . . interstate communications subject to interstate, rather than intrastate, access charges when the enhanced services platform that provides stored, non-call-related information to end users is not located in the state in which the calling or called parties are located."² The *Public Notice* established comment and reply comment deadlines of June 26, 2003 and July 10, 2003, respectively. On June 27, 2003 the Competitive Telecommunications Association ("CompTel") filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting that the Commission extend the period for all interested parties to file reply comments in this proceeding from July 10, 2003, to July 24, 2003. No party has opposed the grant of this Motion.

2. We hereby grant CompTel's request for an extension of time to file reply comments. It is the policy of the Commission that extensions of time are not routinely granted.³ We find, however, that there is good cause to extend the due date for filing reply comments. As CompTel notes, the issues raised by the Petition are complex. The reply comment deadline falls shortly after the July 4th holiday

¹ See Pleading Cycle Established for AT&T Petition for Declaratory Ruling on Enhanced Prepaid Calling Card Services, WC Docket No. 03-133, Public Notice, DA 03-1896 (rel. June 5, 2003) (*Public Notice*).

² AT&T Corp. Petition for Declaratory Ruling at 1 (filed May 15, 2003).

³ 47 C.F.R. § 1.46(a)

when personnel with the expertise to address these issues will be unavailable. We agree that this shortened reply period of time will not provide the parties with sufficient time in which to adequately address the complex issues and that a brief extension will facilitate the development of a complete record for the Commission's review and will not prejudice any parties.

3. Accordingly, we grant CompTel's Motion for an Extension of Time in which to file Reply Comments. Parties may file reply comments by **July 24, 2003**. This matter shall continue to be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules.⁴ All other requirements discussed in the *Public notice* remain in effect. For further information, please contact Paul Moon, Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418-1520.

4. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), and 5(c) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j) 155(c), and sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.46 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.46, the request for extension of time filed by CompTel IS GRANTED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Tamara L. Preiss
Chief, Pricing Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau

⁴ 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206.